

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,947	03/27/2007	Katsuo Sueishi	50026/062001	2391
21559 CLARK & EL	7590 03/27/200 BING LLP	99	EXAMINER	
101 FEDERAL	STREET		MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
BOSTON, MA	. 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentadministrator@clarkelbing.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/598,947 SUEISHI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Prema M. Mertz 1646 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/6/09. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/598,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group IV (claims 9, 10, 11-15, and 17), in the reply

filed on 2/6/09 is acknowledged. Upon further consideration the Examiner has determined that a

new restriction is required. A restriction on all pending claims 1-17 follows. The inconvenience

to Applicants is regretted.

Election/Restriction

2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not

so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with

37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which

the claims must be restricted.

Group I. Claims 1-6, and 8, drawn to a method for suppressing tumor proliferation by

inhibiting PDGF-A expression by administering a minus strand RNA virus vector

encoding a secretory protein.

Group II. Claims 1, 7-8, drawn to a method for suppressing tumor proliferation by

administering an antisense RNA of a PDGF-A gene.

Group III. Claims 1, 7-8, drawn to a method for suppressing tumor proliferation by

administering a siRNA of a PDGF-A gene.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,947

Art Unit: 1646

Group IV. Claims 9, 10, 12, and 17, drawn to an antitumor agent that inhibits the expression of a PDGF-A wherein the antitumor agent is a secretory protein.

Group V. Claims 9, 10, 16, and 17, drawn to an antitumor agent that inhibits the expression of a PDGF-A wherein the antitumor agent is an antisense RNA of a PDGF-A gene.

Group VI. Claims 9, 10, 16, and 17, drawn to an antitumor agent that inhibits the expression of a PDGF-A wherein the antitumor agent is an siRNA of a PDGF-A gene.

Group VII. Claims 9, 10, 11, 13, and 17, drawn to an antitumor agent that inhibits the expression of a PDGF-A wherein the antitumor agent is a minus strand RNA virus vector encoding a secretory protein.

Group VIII. Claims 9, 10, 14-15, and 17, drawn to an antitumor agent that inhibits the expression of a PDGF-A wherein the antitumor agent comprises a cell, to which has been introduced a vector that encodes a secretory protein that binds to a PDGF-A homodimer or a PDGFRa.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-VIII do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons per 37 CFR 1.475(b), which states:

"An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product;
- (2) A product and process of use of said product;
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process;
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

37 CFR 1.475(c) states:

"If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combination of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present."

37 CFR 1.475(d) also states:

Application/Control Number: 10/598,947

Art Unit: 1646

"If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c)."

37 CFR 1.475(e) further states:

"The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternative within a single claim."

In view of 37 CFR 1.475 (b), 37 CFR 1.475 (c), 37 CFR 1.475 (d), and 37 CFR 1.475 (e), Group I is considered the main invention first mentioned in the claims, and the first recited invention drawn to other categories related thereto, e.g. a method of making, method of use.

The technical feature linking groups appears to be inhibitors of PDGF-A. However, such inhibitors are known in the art as evidenced by Turner, et al. (U.S. 2003/0109537). Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Since the first claimed invention lacks a special technical feature, the other claimed inventions cannot share a special technical feature with the first claimed invention.

The inventions of Groups IV-VIII are patentably distinct from each other, because the products of Groups IV-VIII are structurally and functionally different products. The methods of Groups I-III are patentably distinct from each other because each recites different starting

materials and the search of all methods in one patent application would result in an undue search

Page 6

burden.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an 3.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition

under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

Claim Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where

applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of

the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process

invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and

the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully

Art Unit: 1646

examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the

Election of species

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of tumors of the claimed invention:

If any one of Groups I-VIII is elected Applicants are required to elect one of the following species of tumors selected from:

(a) squamous cell carcinoma;

examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- (b) hepatocarcinoma; and
- © adenocarcinoma.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, and 9-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time). Application/Control Number: 10/598,947 Page 9

Art Unit: 1646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on (571) 272-0835.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (571) 273-8300. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0876.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Prema Mertz/ Prema Mertz, Ph.D., J.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646